UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,365	02/26/2004	Hyun-sik Yoon	Q79524	3411	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 09/10/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PHAN, TUANKHANH D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2163		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/786,365	YOON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TUAN-KHANH PHAN	2163		

T	JAN-KHANH PHAN	2163	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following rep application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods:	e same day as filing a Notice of A lies: (1) an amendment, affidavi (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advis no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on a have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensional and the second set for the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount of tened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliar filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensic Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a consideration. 	deration and/or search (see NOT	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12 and 14-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a N entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary ar	come <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do see Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PT 		condition for allowand	ce because:
13. Other:			
/T. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2163	/Hung T Vy/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2163	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Issue: The Applicants argue that Lundstrom does not disclose or suggest at least, "a processor operable to process an event, upon occurrence of the event in the network, by extracting apparatus information for the apparatuses within the network from the management information and specifying a second streaming server different from a first data streaming server according to the extracted information," as recited in claim 1 and similarly recited in claims 12, 24, and 25.

Response: The Examiner respectfully disagrees because disclosing extracting information for the mobile device within the network from the management infoormation and specifying another data server different from a first data server based on the packets information that are being extracted/filtered (col. 1, lines 54-58; col. 4, lines 39-50).

In addition, in the given telephonic interview, the examiner has further indicated and pointed out how references read on the claimed invention and how claim languages are interpreted.